How reliable are metabolite measurements in IEM: experience from ERNDIM Quality Assurance Schemes

Why do we need for Quality Assurance for Inborn Errors of Metabolism

ERNDIM organisation

ERNDIM Schemes



Quality Assurance in IEM is essential

- agreed thresholds of metabolite levels for treatment
- validity of published data / inclusion of patients in multicentre studies
- agreed critical cutoff values in newborn screening (tandem MS)

Mobility of patients

Email in metab-l

«...Our patient with Aciduria will be on vacation in XXX with his family; the parents ask if there is a metabolic center near XXX where a metabolic control for adapting the treatment could be done. »

Why is Quality Assurance in IEM essential

- agreed thresholds of metabolite levels for treatment
- validity of published data / inclusion of patients in multicentre studies
- agreed critical cutoff values in newborn screening (tandem MS)
- Mobility of patients

Interpretation of data from different laboratories in a patient demands comparability of measurements of the two labs

ERNDIM Provides European-Wide Quality Assurance since 1994 Aims of ERNDIM

Consensus on procedures for diagnosis, treatment and monitoring of inherited metabolic diseases.

Quality control schemes operated according to accepted norms

Education

Meetings, Recommended Operating Procedures Annual reports of schemes on the internet.

Value for money Minimal administration costs and efficient subscription collection.

ERNDIM web-site: www.erndim.ch



ERNDIM is now synonymous with quality control of laboratory measurements within the field of the study of inborn errors of metabolism. ERNDIM was founded in 1994 with its legal base in Maastricht, The Netherlands.

The full name of ERNDIM, the "European Research Network for evaluation and improvement of screening, Diagnosis and treatment of Inherited disorders of Metabolism" reflects the original ideals and vision of its founders.



EuroGentest Genetic Testing in Europe

Network for test development harmonization, validation and standardization of services

Co-ordinator: Jean-Jacques Cassiman University of Leuven, Belgium



By Rebecca Kent

Current EQA Schemes



Diagnostic Proficiency Testing in Urine 93 participants

Urine Purines and pyrimidines 45 participants Blood Spots Acylcarnitines 67 participants

ERNDIM Special Assay Schemes: Analytes 2006

Urine

5-OH-Indolacetic acid Carnitine free Creatine Creatinine Guanidinoacetate Homovanillic acid Hydroxyproline Lactic acid Mucopolysaccharides **Orotic acid Pipecolic** acid Sialic acid Succinylacetone Thiosulphate Uric acid

Serum

3-OH Butyric acid 7-Dehydrocholesterol C22:0 Behenic acid C24:0 Lignoceric acid C26:0 Cerotic acid Carnitine free Cis-4-deconic acid Creatine Guanidinoacetate Homocysteine Phenylalanine Lactic acid Phytanic acid **Pipecolic** acid Pyruvic acid Uric acid

ERNDIM Amino acid QC scheme: Participants – 177 from 26 countries

MethodsParticipants (%)Ion-exchange chromatography + ninhydrin82 %Ion-exchange chromatography + other deriv.2 %Reverse phase HPLC12 %GC (MS)1.5 %Other2 %

Amino acid QC scheme: Phenylalanine of 442 µmol/L



SA-Serum 59 Homocysteine

Method						
	tions	for Report				
Hospital Name			Universitäts Kinderspital Beider Basel		Parameter	Your La
Department			Aminoacid lab		n	1
Contact Person			B. Fowler / M. Zaugg / M. Bill		Mean	13.5
Deadline			10-09-2004 23:59		Median	13.5
Unit			µmol/L		SD	
Scale Standard Deviations	Scale µmol/L					
>3SD	> 15,8					
2-3SD	14,8 - 15,8					
1.5 - 2.0SD	14,3 - 14,7					
1.0 - 1.5SD	13,8 - 14,2					
0.5 - 1.0SD	13,3 - 13,7					
0.0 - 0.5SD	12,9 - 13,2					
-0.5 - 0.0SD	12,4 - 12,8					
-1.00.5SD	11,9 - 12,3					
-1.51.0SD	11,4 - 11,8					
-21.5SD	10,9 - 11,3					
-32SD	10,0 - 10,8		_			
<-3SD	< 10,0					
🗙 Your lab 👘			Immunoassay			
HPLC-SBDF reagent			Other			
Ion exchange/reduction DTT/ninhydin ELISA					Mean all labs 12.9	
HPLC-bromobimane reagent					µmol/L	

SA-Serum 62 Homocysteine

						and the second second		
Method Selections for Report								
Hospital Name			Universitäts Kinderspital Beider Basel		Parameter		Your I	
Department			Aminoacid lab		n		1	
Contact Person			B. Fowler / M. Zaugg / M. Bill		Mean		121	
Deadline			03-12-2004 23:59		Median		121	
Unit			µmol/L		SD			
Scale Standard Deviations	Scale µmol/L			i				
>3SD	> 148							
2-3SD	136 - 148							
1.5 - 2.0SD	131 - 135							
1.0 - 1.5SD	125 - 130							
0.5 - 1.0SD	120 - 124	X						
0.0 - 0.5SD	114 - 119							
-0.5 - 0.0SD	109 - 113							
-1.00.5SD	103 - 108							
-1.51.0SD	97 - 102							
-21.5SD	92 - 96							
-32SD	81 - 91							
<-3SD	< 81							
🗙 Your lab			Immunoassay					
HPLC-SBDF reagent			Other		Mean all labs 114	 		
Ion exchange/reduction DTT/ninhyo			ELISA		µmol/L			
HPLC-brom	HPLC-bromobimane reagent							

Amino acid QC scheme: Precision vs. Interlab variation



interlab CV for quantitative schemes 2005

Scheme	Best	Worst
Amino Acids	6.4 % (Valine)	253 % (hydroxyproline)
Special assays Urine	12.7 % (Carnitine)	198 % (sodium thiosulfate)
Special Assays Serum	3.5 % (Uric Acid)	190 % (cis-4-decanoic acid)
Organic Acids	42.5 % (ethylmalonate)	619 % (4-OH-Butyric acid)
Purines & Pyrimidines	6.1% (creatinine)	234 % (dihydro-uracil)
Cystine	12 % (protein)	104 % (cystine)

Diagnostic Proficiency Testing: Performance Prague Centre 2005



How can we improve quality

- Validation of methods and equipment
- Standard methods
- Internal QC
- External QC

EQA will play an increasing role in promoting the much needed improvements of quality of analyte measurement in IEM and will become essential for the accreditation of laboratories.