

Universitätsklinikum Heidelberg

Rechtsfähige Anstalt des öffentlichen Rechts der Universität Heidelberg

Universitätskinderklinik und Poliklinik Kinderheilkunde I

Universitäts-Kinderklinik – Stoffwechselzentrum Heidelberg Stoffwechsellabor - Im Neuenheimer Feld 150 - D-69120 Heidelberg

Ärztl. Direktor: Prof. Dr. med. Prof. h.c. (RCH) G. F. Hoffmann

Stoffwechselzentrum Heidelberg Stoffwechsellabor

(06221) 56 8276 Probenannahme
 (06221) 56 8423 Sekretariat
 Λ (06221) 56 5565
 stoffwechsellabor@uni-hd.de
 http:// www.stoffwechsel.uni-hd.de

Stoffwechselklinik und -ambulanz

(06221) 56 2319 Anmeldung Neugeborenenscreening

(06221 56 8278 Sekretariat

Verena_Peters@med.uni-heidelberg.de

(06221) 56 2311 Zentrale

12.04.2005

ERNDIM QA Scheme for qualitative urinary organic acid analysis Annual Report 2004

Participation

The geographical distributions of the active participants in 2004 are shown in Table 1. Sheffield and Heidelberg participate in each other's scheme and the two centres work closely together under the auspices of the ERNDIM Scientific Advisory Committee.

| Country | Number of laboratories | Country | Number of laboratories |
|----------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Austria | 3 | Philippines | 1 |
| Canada | 4 | Poland | 1 |
| Croatia | 1 | Saudi Arabia | 1 |
| Czech Republic | 2 | Slovakia | 1 |
| Denmark [.] | 1 | Slovenia | 1 |
| Finland | 1 | Spain | 1 |
| Germany | 10 | Sweden | 2 |
| Italy | 10 | Switzerland | 3 |
| Netherlands | 9 | Turkey | 1 |
| Norway | 1 | United Kingdom | 1 |
| | | USA | 8 |

Table 1: Geographical distribution of participants

Samples and results

Three sets of three samples (total 9; sample number 124-132) were distributed to 62 laboratories. 44 laboratories returned results to the first and second circulation and 42 returned results to the third circulation. This time, all laboratories answered at least once.

| Circulation | Number of returns |
|---|----------------------------------|
| circulation circulation circulation | 57 (92%) 55 (89%) 54 (87%) |

Table 2: Receipt of results

Shipment of the samples

Last year we sent out the samples for all three circulations together. This is only for organisation reasons and keep the costs for participating in this scheme as low as possible. Some laboratories reported all three circulations together. The idea of the scheme is to measure the samples evenly spread over the year and report the results near the closing date!

Table 3: Distribution of scores for individual samples (laboratories making returns)

| | | -2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|------------|------------------------|----|---|---|----|
| Sample 124 | Normal pattern | 4 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| Sample 125 | Mevalonic aciduria | 1 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| Sample 126 | Normal pattern | 3 | 1 | 6 | 47 |
| Sample 127 | Propionic acidemia | 1 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| Sample 128 | Methylmalonic aciduria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| Sample 129 | Normal pattern | 1 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| Sample 130 | Normal pattern | 1 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| Sample 131 | Isovaleric acidemia | 0 | 0 | 1 | 53 |
| Sample 132 | Normal pattern | 1 | 0 | 0 | 53 |

Scoring scheme

Individual returns for each sample were scored on the scale

- 2 Correct/satisfactory
- 1 helpful but incomplete
- 0 unhelpful
- -2 misleading

Most active laboratories diagnosed correctly all five diagnosis. Mevalonic aciduria, propionic acidemia and isovaleric acidemia were correctly identified by 98%, the diagnosis of methylmalonic aciduria was found by all participants and 94% of the acitive participants correctly identified glutaric aciduria type I.

The four urines from the healthy controls showed a larger spectrum of possible interpretations. Samples 124, 129 and 130 were reported as (essential) normal from most participants (84%, 91% and 98%) but sample 126 was only reported from 49% as (essential) normal. The reason for this interpretation is certainly the high amount of lactate in the urine.

Comments on performance

The participants cumulative scores are shown in diagram 1 and in table 4. Cumulative scores are the scores for the whole year 2004. Thirty-seven participants (60%) got full marks!

The poor performance of some laboratories scoring less than 10 this year is due to missing returns. Seven laboratories only returned 2 distributions and three laboratories only returned 1 distribution for the whole year.




Diagram 1: Total scores 2004

Table 4: total scores 2004

| Cumulative | Numbers of laboratories |
|------------|-------------------------|
| scores | |
| 18 | 37 |
| 17 | 5 |
| 16 | 1 |
| 15 | / |
| 14 | 6 |
| 13 | 2 |
| 12 | 4 |
| 11 | 1 |
| 10 | 1 |
| 9 | / |
| 8 | 2 |
| 7 | / |
| 6 | 3 |
| 5 | / |
| 4 | / |
| 3 | / |
| 2 | / |
| 1 | / |
| 0 | / |

Your total score 2004

Your total score for 2004 was: «Punkte»

General comments

Special thank for the laboratories that supported us last year with samples. This is critical for the success of the program and will keep the scheme interesting. It is most appreciated that you will continue to support us with urine from patients. Please send us at least 200 ml urine of any interesting patients you may have. We will cover the costs.

Yours sincerely,

Dr. V. Peters

Manager GC-MS department
Laboratory of Metabolic
Diseases

Dr. C. D. Langhans

Prof. Dr. G. F. Hoffmann

Director

Department of General

Paediatrics

Laboratory of Metabolic
Diseases